



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,993	08/30/2001	Anthony Moschopoulos	703.155US1	1856

21186 7590 01/14/2005

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.
P.O. BOX 2938
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

CHOI, WOO H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2186

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/944,993

Applicant(s)

MOSCHOPOULOS, ANTHONY

Examiner

Woo H. Choi

Art Unit

2186

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 December 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 13 – 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed “data structure” amounts to a mere collection of data even when “implemented in a computer readable medium”. The claimed data structure comprises a data stream and an inversion bit, which is set after the stream is transferred depending on the number of bits that are set in the transferred data stream. Data stream, which is defined as any data packet in the specification, is merely a collection of information. The claimed inversion bit, as currently claimed, is merely a placeholder for additional information regarding some aspect of the associated data packet, since it doesn’t seem to contain any meaningful data until the data is actually transferred, the act of which is not claimed. Even after a transfer occurs, in the absence of any limitation of functional nature associated with the data structure, the inversion bit merely indicates that the majority of bits are set in the associated data packet, which seems to be a piece of information of descriptive nature. There is no function that is associated with the claimed “data structure” to be realized in a computer system when implemented, as required for patentability.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2186

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 13 – 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 13 recites the limitation “the data source **may be** classified” which also implies that the data source may not be classified.

Claims 14 – 16 are rejected for depending from a claim that is found to be defective as discussed above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1 – 5, 7 – 9, 13 – 18, 21, 23 and 25 – 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by de la Iglesia *et al.* (US Patent No. 6,490,703, hereinafter “de la Iglesia”).

7. With respect to claims 1, 13 and 17, de la Iglesia discloses a method of transferring a data stream (figure 8) comprising:

Art Unit: 2186

transferring a plurality of bits associated with a data stream from a data source (step 808) to a temporary storage (figure 5, memory 306, figure 8, step 818);

concurrently intercepting during the transfer, each bit associated with the data stream and counting a bit-transfer total and a bit-set total associated with the data stream (figure 8, step 810); and

determining if the bit-set total exceeds more than half the bit-transfer total (steps 810, col. 9 lines 26 – 31) and if so setting an inversion flag bit which is associated with the data stream (step 816, see also figure 2 and col. 14 – 27, flip bits indicate the state of data inversion).

8. With respect to claim 2 and 18 the method further comprises:

transferring from the temporary storage to a target source each bit associated with the data stream and concurrently inverting each bit as transferred, if the inversion flag bit is set (steps 818 – 828, if the bits stored in the memory 306 are inverted in step 814, they are recovered in step 826 by inverting again).

9. With respect to claim 3, the method further comprises:

shifting the inversion flag bit to a flag storage (818, flag bits are stored or “shifted” to memory).

10. With respect to claims 4 and 14, the method further comprises:

assembling one or more additional inversion flag bits in the flag storage, each additional inversion flag bit associated with a single additional data stream; and

maintaining each additional data stream in the temporary storage (figure 8, the process of data word inversion determination and the storage of an inverted data word with flip bits occurs for every 64 bit data word with memory storing a plurality of data words with the associated flip bits).

11. With respect to claim 5, 15 and 16, the method further comprises:

transferring from the temporary storage to a target source each bit associated with the data stream and each of the additional data streams while concurrently inverting each transferred bit, if the inversion flag bit associated with a transferred data stream is set, as identified in the flag storage (steps 818 – 828, see rejection of claim 2 above).

12. With respect to claims 7 and 21, de la Iglesia discloses a method of transferring a data stream (figure 8), comprising:

receiving a data stream and an inversion flag associated with the data stream from a data source;

transferring one or more bits associated with the data stream from a data source to a target source, if the inversion flag is unset; and

inverting the bits associated with the data stream as the data stream is transferred from the data source to the target source, if the inversion flag is set (steps 820 – 828).

13. With respect to claim 8 the inversion flag and the data stream are stored together in the data source (step 818, flop bits and data are both stored in the memory).

14. With respect to claim 9 the inversion flag and the data stream are separately stored in the data source (flip bits and data occupy separate spaces in the memory).

15. With respect to claim 23, the controller acquires the inversion bit by stripping the inversion bit from the data stream (figure 8, steps 818 – 824).

16. With respect to claim 25, de la Iglesia discloses system for transferring a data stream, comprising:

- a control buffer (figure 5, 304, processor registers and other processor storage resources);
- a storage buffer (306);
- an inversion storage (306, memory stores data as well as inversion bits);
- a counting set of executable instructions operable to count set bits associated with a data stream being received from the control buffer into the storage buffer as the storage buffer receives the data stream, and further operable to generate an inversion bit associated with the data stream, wherein the inversion bit is housed in the inversion storage and is set if a total number of set bits exceeds more than half a total number of bits associated with the data stream (figure 8, 810).

17. With respect to claim 26, the system further comprises:

- a transfer set of executable instructions operable to use the counting set of executable instructions to transfer the data stream from the storage buffer to a target device, wherein the

Art Unit: 2186

entire data stream is inverted if the inversion bit is set as the data stream is being transferred to the target device (824 – 828).

18. With respect to claim 27, the inversion bit is transferred with the data stream to the target device (816).

19. Claims 1 – 7, 9 – 12, 19 – 22, 24 – 26, 28 – 37, 39 – 41 and 44 – 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Norman (US Patent No. 5,873,112).

With respect to claims 7, 11, 12, 21, 28, 32, 33 and 36, Norman discloses a method of transferring a data stream (col. 18, lines 29 – 65), comprising:

receiving a data stream (col. 18, lines 46 – 56) and an inversion flag (col. 18, lines 30 – 33) associated with the data stream from a data source (figure 3, 216, figure 7, 416);

transferring one or more bits associated with the data stream from a data source to a target source (figure 7, 401), if the inversion flag is unset; and

inverting the bits associated with the data stream as the data stream is transferred from the data source to the target source, if the inversion flag is set (col. 18, lines 46 – 56).

20. With respect to claim 8, the inversion flag and the data stream are stored together in the data source (polarity bits or inversion flags are stored in the flash array).

Art Unit: 2186

21. With respect to claims 9 and 24, inversion flag and the data stream are separately stored in the data source (col. 18, lines 30 – 33, polarity bits for an entire sector are stored separately from the actual data sector).

22. With respect to claim 10, the inversion flag is stored with one or more additional inversion flags as a single data structure in the data source, each additional inversion flag associated with an additional data stream (see rejection of claim 9 above, polarity bits are for an entire sector are stored together with one bit representing one data packet).

23. With respect to claim 22, the system further comprises:

a temporary storage (figure 3, 104) operable to house the data stream as the data stream is acquired from the data source device; and

a register storage (figure 3, 118) operable to house the inversion bit as the data stream is acquired from the data source device.

24. With respect to claim 29 – 31, and 34, the apparatus further comprises:

a buffer (figure 3, 104) to house the packet prior to transfer; and

a register (118) to house the inversion bit.

25. With respect to claim 35, the state machine is configured by interfacing one or more electro-mechanical devices (216 and other circuits, see page 3 of the specification, Applicant seems to regard any semiconductor type of devices to be electro-mechanical devices).

26. With respect to claim 37, the data packet is a fixed length data packet (figure 2).

27. With respect to claim 39, the apparatus is a flash memory device (figure 3, 216).

28. With respect to claims 1, 19, Norman discloses an inversion data transfer system (figure 7), comprising:

a data source device (401);

a temporary storage (416); and

a controller (429) that transfers a data stream having a plurality of bits from the data source device to the temporary storage, and concurrent to the transfer determines if a total number of set bits within the data stream is more than half of a total number of bits associated with the data stream, and if so associating a set inversion bit with the data stream, otherwise associating an unset inversion bit with the data stream (see also abstract and claim 1, and discussions related to figure 3); and

a register storage operable to house the inversion bit and one or more additional inversion bits, wherein each additional inversion bit is associated with an additional data stream (figure 3, 118, col. 15, lines 65 – 67 and col. 17, lines 50 – 54).

29. With respect to claims 2 – 6 and 20, the controller further retrieves from the register storage each inversion bit associated with a transferred data stream and is operable to

Art Unit: 2186

concurrently transfer the transferred data stream from the temporary storage and invert the bits associated with the transferred data stream if the inversion bit is set (col. 18, lines 29 – 56).

30. With respect to claim 25, Norman discloses a system for transferring a data stream, comprising:

- a control buffer (figure 7, 401, host memory which is inherent in the host processor of figure 7);

- a storage buffer (figure 7, 416);

- an inversion storage (figure 3, 118);

- a counting set of executable instructions operable to count set bits associated with a data stream being received from the control buffer into the storage buffer as the storage buffer receives the data stream, and further operable to generate an inversion bit associated with the data stream, wherein the inversion bit is housed in the inversion storage and is set if a total number of set bits exceeds more than half a total number of bits associated with the data stream (abstract, claim 1).

31. With respect to claim 26, the system further comprises:

- a transfer set of executable instructions operable to use the counting set of executable instructions to transfer the data stream from the storage buffer to a target device, wherein the entire data stream is inverted if the inversion bit is set as the data stream is being transferred to the target device (col. 18, lines 29 – 56).

Art Unit: 2186

32. With respect to claims 40 and 41, Norman discloses a flash memory device (figure 3), comprising:

- a temporary storage (104);
- a receiving controller(102);
- a counting controller (claim 24, col. 26, lines 26 – 34); and
- a transferring controller that transfers a data packet received by the receiving controller in a temporary storage to a target device and further inverts the data packet during the transfer if the counting controller indicates to the transferring controller that the packet requires inversion (claims 24 and 25, col. 26, lines 40 – 53).

33. With respect to claim 44, the counting controller includes a packet based ones counter (col. 13, line 45 – col. 19, line 64).

34. With respect to claim 45, the device further comprises a shift-load register (figure 3, 118) used to house an inversion bit generated by the counting controller, wherein the inversion bit, if set, indicates the data packet is to be inverted.

35. With respect to claim 46, one or more multiplexors (Figure 3, 106), the shift-load register (118), and the temporary storage (104) are used by the transferring controller to transfer the data packet.

Art Unit: 2186

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

36. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

37. Claims 38, 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norman in view of Goldstein (US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0028672).

Norman discloses all of the limitations of the parent claims as discussed above.

However, Norman does not specifically disclose specific applications of his inventions in a digital camera system that uses a compact flash memory. Variable size packet is not disclosed by Norman either. On the other hand, Goldstein discloses a digital camera system (figure 2A) and Compact Flash card (page 1, paragraph 4). Goldstein also discloses a variable packet size (page 2, paragraph 21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Goldstein and Norman before him at the time the invention was made, to use the flash memory resource management teachings of Goldstein in the memory system of Norman, in order to provide memory management for electronic devices having limited and/or finite memory resources (Goldstein, page 1, paragraph 7).

Alternatively, it would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Goldstein and Norman before him at the time the invention was made, to use the bit inversion teachings of the flash memory system of Normal in the digital camera system of Goldstein, in order to reduce power consumption (col. 7, lines 1 – 4), reduce average time to write (col. 7, 37 – 39), and to increase the average life time of the array's cells (col. 7, lines 39 – 40).

Response to Amendment

38. Claims 13 – 16 have been amended to overcome rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101. These amendments do not overcome the rejection as discussed above.

39. Claim 23 has been amended to overcome an objection. Corresponding objection is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

40. Applicant's arguments filed December 8, 2004, regarding the patentability of the amended claims, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. All of the limitations added to avoid the prior art rejections are related to “designation” of the data source and use terms that describe potential **capabilities** (e.g. operable, adapted to, can) to re-designate the data source. “Designation” of a data source by its very nature is transitory and is operation dependant. It is only a data source while it is sourcing data. It becomes a target when it starts to receive data. The claims do not require the actual act of a former source being a target and

Art Unit: 2186

Applicant has not shown why the data sources of prior art are incapable of being targets of some unspecified operations.

41. Applicant's argument regarding data transfers through a "port" in de la Iglesia is irrelevant. The data source in de la Iglesia is an application, which is capable of receiving data.

42. With respect to Applicant's statements regarding Norman, Applicant fails to make specific and convincing arguments. Prima facie case presented above cannot be overcome with general statements and allegations.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Woo H. Choi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4179. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2186

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

whc
whc

January 11, 2005


MATTHEW KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100